

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

Wells Fargo, N.A.

Case No. 12-cv-02621

Plaintiff,

v.

Jill Clark, Jill Clark, P.A.

Defendants.

Jill Clark, Jill Clark, P.A.

Plaintiffs,

v.

Wells Fargo, N.A.

Defendant.

**NOTICE OF
FILING NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Petitioners Peter Rickmyer,
Morris Klock, Jerry Moore,
Jill Clark, Jill Clark, P.A.,
Jill Clark, LLC,

v.

Hennepin County District Court,
a/k/a Fourth Judicial District,
Robert A. Blaeser, in his individual
Capacity as Presiding Judge of Civil,
and John Does 1-10,

Third-party Defendants.

Rickmyer (along with others) removed his Hennepin County Court civil cases
to the District of Minnesota on August 10, 2012.

The removal was perfected (which included an August 31, 2012 notice of filing notice of removal, e-filed on Tyler Host), but the case number was not assigned because the federal court asked that the cases removed be split civil and criminal.

That has been done, and a case number (above) has been assigned. The notice of removal was e-filed August 31, 2012 (entered September 1, 2012). It is attached here and being re-filed with this additional page(s) as explanation (and this is being emailed to Judge Bush and counsel).

Because of splitting the cases, the Petition was slightly revised. That is being served via US mail on all parties, today.

A courtesy copy of the revised petition is being emailed to Judge Bush and all parties.

PETER RICKMYER
2118 25th AVENUE NORTH
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55411

October 22, 2012

Judge Philip Bush
Hennepin County Courthouse

Re: 27-cv-11-3331

Dear Judge Bush:

Here is the history of this case since August 10, 2012 as I understand it.

Several cases were removed on August 10 (many "defendants" removed).

The Federal Court asked Ms. Clark to split them into separate files (although all civil files to remain as one file). That was done, and on October 15, 2012, the federal court assigned a judge (who then recused) and a case number (12-cv-02621).

My intent is to pursue these cases in federal court, however I can do that.

I do not find it appropriate to dismiss the 11 case with prejudice. As I understand it, Ms. Clark was not being accommodated by the state courts. If the case is dismissed with prejudice, I will pursue the dismissal as "damages" in a new federal case.

Also, I now know that there was evidence to support our motion to recuse the entire Hennepin County bench, that was kept from us, so that motion should have been granted. If this state case goes forward the first thing I'll do is to ask for reconsideration of that motion (the bench should have recused given the conduct of Judge Blaeser).

I have been ordered by Judge Cahill not to file anything without his permission, so I am sending this to him, and a volunteer who is assisting my attorney while on medical leave (she is a licensed attorney but is not an attorney on this case) is emailing this to Judge Bush and to opposing parties/counsel.

I appreciate the court's understanding that I want to litigate this in federal court. In the habeas part of this case, I could not get any state judges to consider the facts relating to Judge Blaeser's conduct. Your Honor applied federal law to dismiss a defendant who used to Clerk at that Court. With all due respect, I don't think I can get a fair ruling on my case in state court.

If Your Honor does dismiss under Rule 41, it should be without prejudice. Please let me have a real opportunity to litigate this case in federal court. I cannot speak to whether the case(s) will be remanded by the federal court to this court, and then I'll have to do something to get it back there. To avoid all of that, I am suggesting this Court dismiss without prejudice under Rule 41.