



**Alleged Violations:** 1) Failure to follow Agent's directives; 2) Failure to follow Agent's directives; 3) Engaging in assaultive, abusive or violent behavior including harassment, stalking or threats of violence.

**Plea:** 1) deny, 2) deny, 3) deny

**Findings:** Violation found for #1 Failure to Follow Agent's directive based on the preponderance of the evidence. The Agent's directive is clear that subject must inform his Agent of any legal research or preparations or legal filings and name of his Attorney before any such activities were initiated. The directive was given very clearly on July 2010 and reinforced in November 2010 and February 2011. Witness Goodmunson credibly testified that she observed subject serving legal documents on 03/01/11 to John Hoff's Attorney, stating "John's been served." Subject is not denying he served legal documents, only that he is in compliance with his Court Order to not serve "new" documents after April 2010. He claimed he was serving "old" documents that pertained to litigation prior to April 2010. \*The Agent's directive was precipitated by a formal judicial designation that subject is a "Frivolous Litigant" and directive's purpose was meant to ensure compliance of this Order. The directive is seen as having a nexus to the offender's history and, therefore, reasonable. This fact-finding is based specifically on the Agent's directive which requires subject to inform Agent of all legal research/preparations/filings before it is initiated and is broadly compatible with the Judge's Order. Subject's actions constitute a deliberate failure to comply with this directive. Burden of proof has been met.

Violation found for #2 for Failure to Follow Agent's directive based on the preponderance of the evidence. The Agent's directive to stay away from any court appearances in which John Hoff or Megan Goodmundson are involved was clearly violated on 03/01/11. Witness Goodmundson credibly testified that subject was at the court house where she, John Hoff and his attorney were present. Subject is not denying he was at the court house on this date. \*This directive is seen as reasonable, as it is limited in scope (pertains to only when Hoff is present at the court house) and relates to his supervision: Agent feels subject has an excessive preoccupation with John Hoff due to believing Hoff requested his house be searched. Hoff has previously complained to Agent that subject was at the court house when he (Hoff) was there. Subject was aware that Hoff was involved in litigation that day and wanted to serve him or his attorney legal papers, and he was not there for any personal business. The Agent's directive was first issued on 02/16/11 and further explained on 03/01/11. Therefore, there should have been no confusion on the directive. The burden of proof has been met.

Violation #3 for Harassing Behavior dismissed. The witness, Ms. Goodmundson, did not testify to a pattern of harassment, or any tangible or specific examples of harassment. Rather, her testimony indicates her feelings of vulnerability at subject's presence in the neighborhood, or to a feeling of disgust at subject's sex offenses(s), or to his level 3 sex offender status. The burden of proof has not been met.

**Agent Recommendations:** Subject has been given numerous directives in regards to his legal filings. The first directive was on 04/09/10. He was told to cease any fillings whatsoever until a motion filec against him was heard on 04/20/10. He was declared a "Frivolous Litigant" on 04/20/10 and ordered by the court to have all legal filings signed by a licensed lawyer and approved by a Presiding Civil Court Judge. He was given a clear directive on 07/29/10 to inform Agent before any work on legal research or preparation or filing is initiated and to inform Agent of the name of the attorney that would be signing off for him. He was also directed to follow the Court's order. On 03/02/11, John Hoff informed Agent that a process server delivered him a summons dated 02/24/11 along with a copy of a lawsuit filed by subject over a year age. Subject does not believe this to be covered under the Court's Order

Distribution: Original - Base file                      2. Releasee  
1. Supervising Agent                              3. Atty/Legal advocate

because it is not "new". Subject appeared in court on 02/10/11 in which John Hoff was a respondent. He was given a directive to stay away from any court appearances for John Hoff or Megan Goodmundson on 02/16/11. He called for clarification on this directive on 03/01/11. On 03/02/11, subject was waiting outside a courtroom in which John Hoff was appearing and ran up to Hoff, his significant other and his Attorney in order to serve paper. He failed to stay away from a hearing in which Hoff was appearing. He has been engaged in behavior for over a year that can be construed as harassing to John Hoff and Megan Goodmundson. He began by filing lawsuits against a large group of people, including the above, and despite a dismissal of his lawsuits and the Court Order for review of his purported filings, he continues to engage in filing. To avoid the Court Order, he filed a civil rights complaint with the City of Mpls. He also mailed letters addressed to Hoff and others

Ms. Goodmundson testified to the following: she is one of the directors of the neighborhood organization, Jordon Area Community Counsel (JACC); she is aware of subject through the neighborhood and through the public notification process; she has had interaction with subject through his complaints and called Agent to report this; on Feb. 10, 2011, she was in court as a spectator and subject showed up there and attended the hearing; John Hoff was present and subject is not suppose to have contact with Hoff; she called Agent to complain; she was at the Government Center with Hoff and his attorney on 03/02/11 and observed subject ran up and served legal papers to the attorney; she saw subject on the hallway while she was going for coffee and felt he wanted to spy on her; she feels vulnerable anytime she sees him; he is a convicted level 3 sex offender and creepy and he seems to have a vendetta against her; subject knows where she lives and she feels very threatened by him; and she has written about subject in the blog, "Adventures of Johnny Northside" and has referred to him as "Spanky Pete" due to his prior offense of spanking a kid.

Subject's overall adjustment to supervision has been marginal. He persists on being defiant and questions all directives and methods of supervision. He has a lengthy history of filing multiple grievances, lawsuits or making complaints to get some sort of redress. His actions are quite frivolous and without merit. He has been out since September 2006. He has had three restructures. He is a Level 3 sex offender. He is obsessed with John Hoff. He indicated to Agent that he was upset with him because "Hoff had my house searched." Subject displays behavior that is not only escalating but is getting bizarre. He is difficult to supervise, unamenable to supervision due to the fact that he questions authority, displays little motivation for change and tends to externalize blame for his problems onto others. Revoke for 180 days.

**Releasee Attorney Recommendations:** Defense submitted multiple exhibits for consideration, including blogs of "Adventures of Johnny Northside," redacted chronos of Agent, Hoff's letter to Agent Fletcher, etc. Ms. Goodmundson volunteered to testify today. She wants subject to go to prison. She is the significant other of John Hoff and is a contributor to his website, "The Adventures of Johnny Northside." This blog is engaged in "cyberstalking" on subject. She is part of a community of about 20-50 members, who have a bias on subject. Instead of subject harassing her (as she claims), she has been the actual one harassing him. She called him "Spanky Pete" in the blog. She has no harassing or restraining order against subject and there is nothing in writing that says subject has to stay away from her. She was at a public court proceedings; she objected to subject being there. He has a right to be at public proceedings. Subject never harassed her, or followed her around. This is purely speculation on her part. Defense has ordered a video from the Government Center to show that subject never harassed her. She is the one that is harassing subject. She takes photographs of him to put on the blogs. She is testifying on behalf of John Hoff. This is self-serving testimony, as defense cannot cross examine Hoff. Subject was at court on 02/10/11 to observe the court case of Moore vs. Hoff. Moore was a victim of Hoff's blog and has a judgment

Distribution: Original - Base file 2. Releasee  
1. Supervising Agent 3. Atty/Legal advocate



I called a squad approx 10 pm, the officers explained to me they met with JACC people earlier that night and they were nice people so they were not going to stop it. (I do not remember exact wordage)

The city defendants evidently rewarded Hoff by allowing Hoff to volunteer for MPLS Police by but not limited to serving food to police for thanksgiving, Please note when I asked Inspector Martin 4<sup>th</sup> Precinct commander he stated he was on vacation and Hoff should not of been allowed to volunteer MPLS Police has a strict policy about people who have been known and publicly accused of kidnapping, terroristict threats and physically abusing his child which Hoff could still face felony charges. (See Petition for Restraining Order from Emery).


Please note I believe JACC who are landlords to Hennepin County probation house at 2523 Irving Avenue North were given special privileges including have someone's parole violated and investigations into who called Megan a fat person among others things./

I called a squad approx 10 pm, the officers explained to me they met with JACC people earlier that night and they were nice people so they were not going to stop it. (I do not remember exact wordage)

The city defendants evidently rewarded Hoff by allowing Hoff to volunteer for MPLS Police by but not limited to serving food to police for thanksgiving, Please note when I asked Inspector Martin 4<sup>th</sup> Precinct commander he stated he was on vacation and Hoff should not of been allowed to volunteer MPLS Police has a strict policy about people who have been known and publicly accused of kidnapping, terroristict threats and physically abusing his child which Hoff could still face felony charges. (See Petition for Restraining Order from Emery).

Please note I believe JACC who are landlords to Hennepin County probation house at 2523 Irving Avenue North were given special privileges including have someone's parole violated and investigations into who called Megan a fat person among others things./

Hearing Officer:



Roger Baburam, Hearings and Release Officer

Dated: 3/24/2011

Distribution: Original - Base file  
1. Supervising Agent

2. Releasee  
3. Atty/Legal advocate