_		,	
	Page I		Page 2
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):
2	DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA	2	On Behalf of the Witness:
3	****************	3	Stephen W. Cooper, Esquire
4	PAUL STEPNES, et al., No. 08-CV-5296 (ADM/JJK)	4	cooperlaw@cooperlaw.org
5	Plaintiffs,	5	COOPER LAW FIRM CHARTERED .
6	v.	6	Loring Green East
7	PETER RITSCHEL, et al.,	7	1201 Yale Place, Suite A100
8	Defendants.	8	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403-1901
9	****************	9	(612) 338-3533
10		10	Fax (612) 338-3596
11		11	
12	DEPOSITION OF	12	On Behalf of the Defendants Sergeant Ritschel, Sergeant
13	DEBORAH EVERSON	13	Moore, and the City of Minneapolis:
14	Taken June 30, 2009	14	Sara J. Lathrop, Esquire
15	Scheduled for 1:30 p.m.	15	sara.lathrop@ci.minneapolis.mn.us
16		16	CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
17		17	333 South 7th Street
18		18	Suite 300
19		19	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
20		20	(612) 673-2072
21		21	Fax (612) 673-3362
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	•
25	Reported By: Lori Morrow, RPR, CRR, CLR	25	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	Deposition of DEBORAH EVERSON, taken on the	1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):
2	30th day of June, 2009, commencing at 1:30 p.m., at	2	On Behalf of the Defendants WCCO and Esme Murphy:
3	the offices of PARADIGM REPORTING & CAPTIONING INC.,	3	John P. Borger, Esquire
4	1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, Minneapolis,	4	jborger@faegre.com
5	Minnesota, before Lori Morrow, Registered	5	FAEGRE & BENSON LLP
6	Professional Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter,	6	2200 Wells Fargo Center
7	Certified Realtime Reporter and a Notary Public in	7	90 South Seventh Street
8	and for the State of Minnesota.	8	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3901
9		9	(612) 766-7000
10	APPEARANCES:	10	Fax (612) 766-1600
11	On Behalf of the Plaintiffs:	11	-and-
		12	Michael D. Sullivan, Esquire
12	III Clark, Esquire		
12	Jill Clark, Esquire		·
13	JILL CLARK, P.A.	13	msullivan@lskslaw.com
13 14	JILL CLARK, P.A. 2005 Aquila Avenue North	13 14	msullivan@lskslaw.com LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, L.L.P.
13 14 15	JILL CLARK, P.A. 2005 Aquila Avenue North Minneapolis, Minnesota 55427	13 14 15	msullivan@lskslaw.com LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, L.L.P. 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W.
13 14 15 16	JILL CLARK, P.A. 2005 Aquila Avenue North Minneapolis, Minnesota 55427 (763) 417-9102	13 14 15 16	msullivan@lskslaw.com LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, L.L.P. 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Suite 800
13 14 15 16 17	JILL CLARK, P.A. 2005 Aquila Avenue North Minneapolis, Minnesota 55427	13 14 15 16 17	msullivan@lskslaw.com LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, L.L.P. 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036-5514
13 14 15 16 17	JILL CLARK, P.A. 2005 Aquila Avenue North Minneapolis, Minnesota 55427 (763) 417-9102	13 14 15 16 17	msullivan@lskslaw.com LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, L.L.P. 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036-5514 (202) 508-1116
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JILL CLARK, P.A. 2005 Aquila Avenue North Minneapolis, Minnesota 55427 (763) 417-9102	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	msullivan@lskslaw.com LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, L.L.P. 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036-5514
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JILL CLARK, P.A. 2005 Aquila Avenue North Minneapolis, Minnesota 55427 (763) 417-9102	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	msullivan@lskslaw.com LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, L.L.P. 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036-5514 (202) 508-1116 Fax (202) 861-9888
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JILL CLARK, P.A. 2005 Aquila Avenue North Minneapolis, Minnesota 55427 (763) 417-9102	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	msullivan@lskslaw.com LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, L.L.P. 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036-5514 (202) 508-1116
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JILL CLARK, P.A. 2005 Aquila Avenue North Minneapolis, Minnesota 55427 (763) 417-9102	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	msullivan@lskslaw.com LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, L.L.P. 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036-5514 (202) 508-1116 Fax (202) 861-9888 ALSO PRESENT: Paul Stepnes
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JILL CLARK, P.A. 2005 Aquila Avenue North Minneapolis, Minnesota 55427 (763) 417-9102	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	msullivan@lskslaw.com LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, L.L.P. 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036-5514 (202) 508-1116 Fax (202) 861-9888 ALSO PRESENT: Paul Stepnes NOTE: The original transcript will be
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JILL CLARK, P.A. 2005 Aquila Avenue North Minneapolis, Minnesota 55427 (763) 417-9102	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	msullivan@lskslaw.com LEVINE SULLIVAN KOCH & SCHULZ, L.L.P. 1050 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036-5514 (202) 508-1116 Fax (202) 861-9888 ALSO PRESENT: Paul Stepnes

	Page 61	1 2	
		- 1	
		2	2 O Paul Simonson?
		3	B A No.
	•	4	Q Megan O'Hara?
		5	A No.
		6	Q An individual named Ember?
Q	I want to go through all the names. Could you	7	7 A No.
just t	ell me if you know these individuals that I'm going	8	MR. COOPER: I just object to that last
to list	? And this part is not confidential anymore. Is	9	question as too vague, individual name. Ember is
that o	okay?	10	a who knows what you're talking about.
Α	Uh-huh.	11	THE WITNESS: No. I still don't know.
Q	Okay. Lee Glover?	12	BY MS. LATHROP:
Α	No.	13	Q Christopher Mihm?
Q	Do you know the company First Construction	14	A No.
Finan	ce, L.L.C.?	15	Q Okay. Peter Girard?
. А	No.	16	- · · ·
0	Candace Campbell?	17	
· A	•	18	,
		1	
-	8		•
			,
			· ·
		i	
	•	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
•	• •		·
^		23	A No.
	P. (0		D (1)
0	•	1	Page 64 Q Ray Neset?
•		ł	A No.
		İ	Q Judith Taylor?
		1	A No.
-	· ·	· ·	Q There was some discussion on the record earlier
			today about the Plaintiff, Mr. Stepnes, making some
_		l	noises during the deposition. Did you feel that he was
	•	ĺ	
		l	trying to intimidate you by making those noises today?
	Okay. An individual named Terri, 1-E-R-R-1,		A I think he's that's just how Paul is. He's
	No		expressive, and that's, you know, that's I expect that
			from him.
	·		Q When you say "it," do you mean him trying to
			intimidate you?
			A Just, you know, he feels that he's been I
Α.	·		think that he feels he has had injustice done against
			him, and he thinks that I'm here to perpetuate that
Q	Okay. Carolyn Aberman?	17	injustice. And so he's acting out in response to that.
Α	No. ,	18	Q Against you?
Q	Jean Golden?	19	A Yes.
A	No.	20	MS. LATHROP: I think those are all my
Q	Golden & Associates?	21	questions. Thank you.
A	No.	22	MR. SULLIVAN: All right.
Q	Carol Bersin?	23	EXAMINATION
	No.	24	BY MR. SULLIVAN:
Α			
	to list that c A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	A No. Q Do you know the company First Construction Finance, L.L.C.? A No. Q Candace Campbell? A No. Q CDC Associates? A No. Q Bill Kling, with a K? A Bill Kling. I've met Bill Kling. I don't know who he is, but. Q Sally Kling with a K? A No. Page 62 Q You already said you don't know Ali Dunham, I believe? A No. Q Audie Dunham? A No. Q I think you said you didn't know Sharon Provost? A No. Q Okay. An individual named Terri, T-E-R-R-I, lones. A No. Q Janine [sic] Seeley-Smith? A No. Q Ben Taylor? A His name sounds familiar, but I don't think I know him. No. Q Okay. Carolyn Aberman? A No. Q Jean Golden? A No. Q Golden & Associates?	to list? And this part is not confidential anymore. Is that okay? A Uh-huh. Q Okay. Lee Glover? A No. Q Do you know the company First Construction Finance, L.L.C.? A No. Q Candace Campbell? A No. Q CDC Associates? A No. Q Bill Kling, with a K? A Bill Kling. I've met Bill Kling. I don't know who he is, but. Q Sally Kling with a K? A No. Q Sally Kling with a K? A No. Q I think you said you don't know Ali Dunham, I believe? A No. Q I think you said you didn't know Sharon Provost? A No. Q I think you said you didn't know Sharon Provost? A No. Q Okay. An individual named Terri, T-E-R-R-I, lones. A No. Q Janine [sic] Seeley-Smith? A No. Q Ben Taylor? A His name sounds familiar, but I don't think I stoow him. No. Q Okay. Carolyn Aberman? A No. Q Jean Golden? A No. Q Jean Golden? A No. Q Golden & Associates?

Page 65 earlier. My name is Michael Sullivan. I represent the Chester in it has to do with one of his companies. local station here WCCO and its reporter Esme Murphy. I 2 Okay. have just a few follow-up questions. I think Sara has A That's all. already covered much of the ground that I had intended to Were your dealings with Mr. Stepnes with him personally, or did you deal with one of those corporate 6 entities? I just want to be sure I understand fully your 6 relationship with the Plaintiff, Paul Stepnes. You've A I just dealt with Paul directly. now made clear that you did some architectural work 8 Okay. And did you ever have any dealings of any sort with the Chester House Foundation that you saw through your firm Domain Architecture. 10 A Yes. 10 referenced on the website? Q Is that correct? Do you also have a personal 11 A No. relationship with Mr. Stepnes? And by that, I mean were 12 Do you have any information whatsoever about 12 you two personal friends before you engaged in a business 13 that foundation or its activities? 13 14 14 relationship? 15 A We were not friends before our business 15 Q You've told us about how you came to learn of relationship. But we were friends during it. the contest by reading an article in the Minneapolis Star 16 16 Q Okay. And how long did your business Tribune. Did you have any other conversations with any 18 relationship with Mr. Stepnes, when did it commence, and 18 other individuals about the contest? 19 when did it come to a close if, in fact, it has come to a 19 Sure. We talked about it in the office. Some 20 people were going to go over and try it out. 20 21 All right. And was this just general office 21 A I would say somewhere in 2005 we started 22 talking design. And I haven't spoken to him since, oh, 22 conversation with your colleagues? gosh, probably 2007. 23 General office chitchat. 23 24 Okay. Did you have any -- try to get a little 24 Q And how would you describe your experience of 25 working with Mr. Stepnes just in general terms? 25 more specific. Did you have any conversations with Page 66 Page 68 1 anyone about Mr. Stepnes' alleged plan to donate money A It was a lot of fun. We had a great time working together. from the house contest to some charity or charities? 3 Q And you indicated that there came a point where A No. It was unclear to me what sort of the you had an unpaid debt of some sort of about \$40,000? relationships were between the contest and the foundation and the -- just the whole thing. 6 Q Okay. That was a question that you had in your Has that debt -- has it since been retired? Has it been paid off? A I think I signed -- well, no. I guess --Correct. But you didn't discuss that with others? signing away the lien just released the lien. But I think the debt is still out there. I don't expect to 10 10 collect it. 11 Did you talk with anyone about Mr. Stepnes alleged plan to give the house away even though it was 12 12 Q Okay. So it's still outstanding? 13 subject to various liens? 13 14 No. 14 Q But you're not counting on getting those 15 moneys. I take it? 15 Did you talk with anyone about Mr. Stepnes' plan to give the house away even though the home was in 16 16 foreclosure? 17 17 Q Did ever institute legal proceedings to try to 18 collect that debt? 18 A No. Q Okay. And just --19 19 20 MR. COOPER: You mean other than the police 20 Q Ms. Everson, have you had dealings with any of Mr. Stepnes' companies? In particular, I think you 21 BY MR. SULLIVAN: indicated you had some familiarity with Chester House, 22 22

Precisely. Just in fairness and to make it

24 clear, I'm not asking you to repeat the testimony that

25 you gave in response to Ms. Clark's questions about your

23

L.L.C.

A I only know of that because that is his dog's

name, Chester. So I think any business that has the

23

24

]	Page 6		
1	discussions with Sergeant Ritschel. Is that acceptable		Page
2	A Yes. Yes.	2	
3	Q Ms. Everson, if you could look again at the	3	(This portion is in confidential transcript.)
4	exhibit that was marked as Exhibit 10.	4	
5	MR. COOPER: Any particular page, counsel?	5	
6	BY MR. SULLIVAN:	6	
7	Q Yes, indeed. If you'll look again at page	7	
8	MPLS00008.	8	
9	MS. CLARK: Do we need to go back into	9	,
10	confidential status?	10	
11	BY MR. SULLIVAN:	111	
12	Q We do.	12	
13	(Next portion is sealed in confidential transcript.)		
14	(Next portion is scaled in confidential danscript.)	13	
15		14	
16		15	
17		16	
18		17	
		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	Q Okay. We're not involved in Exhibit 10
22		22	anymore, so no longer has to be confidential.
23		23	As I understand from your prior testimony,
24	•	24	you're familiar with Mr. Stepnes primarily in a business
25		25	context?
	Page 70		Page 72
1	•	1	A Correct.
2		2	Q Okay. My next question is put to you with that
3	(This portion is in confidential transcript.)	3	context in mind. To your knowledge, what is Paul
4		4	Stepnes' reputation for honesty?
5		5	MR. COOPER: I'm going to object. We're now
6		6	getting into you did the foundation nicely, and
7		7	
8		/	you made it very clear that this is a professional
		8	
9		8	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact
		8	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional
10		8 9	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question
10 11		8 9 10	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside
10 11 12		8 9 10 11	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition.
10 11 12 13		8 9 10 11 12	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just so you know I'm
10 11 12 13		8 9 10 11 12 13	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition.
10 11 12 13 14		8 9 10 11 12 13 14	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just so you know I'm not going to sit here and argue. MR. COOPER: I understand.
10 11 12 13 .4 .5		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just so you know I'm not going to sit here and argue. MR. COOPER: I understand. MR. SULLIVAN: We can take this up at a later
10 11 12 13 .4 .5 .6		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just so you know I'm not going to sit here and argue. MR. COOPER: I understand. MR. SULLIVAN: We can take this up at a later date. But just so you know where I'm coming from,
10 11 12 13 14 15 .6 .7		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just so you know I'm not going to sit here and argue. MR. COOPER: I understand. MR. SULLIVAN: We can take this up at a later date. But just so you know where I'm coming from, it is my position that for any witness to ask about
10 11 12 13 14 15 .6 .7 .8		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just so you know I'm not going to sit here and argue. MR. COOPER: I understand. MR. SULLIVAN: We can take this up at a later date. But just so you know where I'm coming from, it is my position that for any witness to ask about a person's knowledge of someone's reputation in the
10 11 12 13 .4 .5 .6 7 8 9		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just so you know I'm not going to sit here and argue. MR. COOPER: I understand. MR. SULLIVAN: We can take this up at a later date. But just so you know where I'm coming from, it is my position that for any witness to ask about a person's knowledge of someone's reputation in the community, that that is a quintessential not
10 11 12 13 .4 .5 .6 .7 8 9 0		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just so you know I'm not going to sit here and argue. MR. COOPER: I understand. MR. SULLIVAN: We can take this up at a later date. But just so you know where I'm coming from, it is my position that for any witness to ask about a person's knowledge of someone's reputation in the community, that that is a quintessential not that's not what I'm seeking. That's not an
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just so you know I'm not going to sit here and argue. MR. COOPER: I understand. MR. SULLIVAN: We can take this up at a later date. But just so you know where I'm coming from, it is my position that for any witness to ask about a person's knowledge of someone's reputation in the community, that that is a quintessential not that's not what I'm seeking. That's not an expert
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 4		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just so you know I'm not going to sit here and argue. MR. COOPER: I understand. MR. SULLIVAN: We can take this up at a later date. But just so you know where I'm coming from, it is my position that for any witness to ask about a person's knowledge of someone's reputation in the community, that that is a quintessential not that's not what I'm seeking. That's not an expert
10 11 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	area, and, therefore, she's no longer a fact witness. This is based on her professional knowledge. And I'm going to object to the question and instruct her not to answer because it's outside the scope of the subpoena for this deposition. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Just so you know I'm not going to sit here and argue. MR. COOPER: I understand. MR. SULLIVAN: We can take this up at a later date. But just so you know where I'm coming from, it is my position that for any witness to ask about a person's knowledge of someone's reputation in the community, that that is a quintessential not that's not what I'm seeking. That's not an expert